

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,618	05/19/2004	Frank Niebuhr	60130-2082; 03MRA0207	6549	
26096 7:	590 06/21/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			KUHNS, ALLAN R		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAN	M, MI 48009		1732		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/849,618	NIEBUHR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allan Kuhns	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-23 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the local confidence of	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 110504&093005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

- 1.Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 in the reply filed on April 19, 2006 is acknowledged.
- 2.Claims 14-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 19, 2006.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reece et al. (5,895,624). Reece et al. disclose or suggest the basic claimed method for making a composite part having an outer skin that is visible when the composite part is installed on a vehicle including (1) providing a foil part having the outer skin, the foil part having a removable protective foil disposed on a front side of the outer skin, the protective foil having an outer side (column 2, lines 41-49), (2) reworking the protective foil (it is submitted that smoothing occurs at least when the foil or film contacts a mold or die), (3) placing the foil part together with the protective foil in a mold or die, and (4) applying a plastic layer on a rear side of the outer skin. Reece et al. appear to be silent concerning pressure levels, but given the disclosure of injection molding, as in claim 2, at column 1, line 21 of Reece et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a relatively high pressure in order to expediently deliver plastic to the mold or die cavity.

Art Unit: 1732

Reece et al. teach or suggest reshaping, as in claims 3-6, and also teach or suggest the use of a thermoplastic material, as in claim 7. Use of foam and/or plastic reinforced with fibers, as in claims 9-11, is well known and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide reinforcement and to reduce vehicle weight. Foils or films having a plurality of layers, including those formed by coextrusion, as in claim 8, are well known and their use in the method of Reece et al. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to refine the physical properties of the cover layer. Polishing or buffing the protective foil, as in claim 12, is well known (note column 37, lines 21-23 of Spain et al. – cited in the European search report) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a means of removal or partial removal. Reece et al. teach or suggest production of a mount-on vehicle body panel, as in claim 13, at column 1, lines 19-22.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/849,618

Art Unit: 1732

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> allan R. Kuls ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

Page 4

6-13-06